

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,614	03/28/2006	Dong Guoliang	19599.02US1	9784
2554, 7550 12/31/2008 NEAL, GERBER, & EISENBERG SUITE 2200			EXAMINER	
			HARTMANN, GARY S	
2 NORTH LA: CHICAGO, IL	SALLE STREET . 60602		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/573.614 GUOLIANG, DONG Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Gary Hartmann 3671 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20 recited a method in the preamble, but does not recite any method steps. This claim has been treated as a road system, in accordance with the parent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee (WO 01/94702).

Looking to Figure 2, Lee discloses a multi-level road system. Each of the levels have a similar width and are arranged in a grid pattern. Regarding the intended use limitations (i.e., "for motor vehicles" and "for non-motor vehicles"), this adds no patentable weight to the road system because it is known that both motorized and non-motorized vehicles use roadways. Further, it is

Art Unit: 3671

noted that even if a road is designated for use by one of motorized or non-motorized vehicles, actually using the road by the other type of vehicle on this road does not affect the configuration (i.e., patentable structure) of the system.

Regarding the extensive use of the term "and/or" in the claims, note that this term has been treated broadly as "or," since the presence of only a single one of the options is sufficient to meet claim recitations.

There is a ramp.

Lee discloses sidewalks.

Regarding the general terms of "turnaround passageway," "minor arterial," "U-turn road," "special ramp," etc., note that there are no structural recitations with regard to any of these terms. Because three orthogonal road segments in Lee would create a U shape, this broadly meets U-turn road and turnaround passageway, for example. Similarly, there is nothing recited which distinguishes a minor arterial or a special ramp from another arterial or any other ramp, respectively. For these reasons, these limitations are met by Lee.

Vehicles could travel in a manner within the scope of claim 20 recitations.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02 December 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are directed toward the differences between the present invention and the prior art with respect to the general configurations and these differences are noted; however, as discussed in the non-final Office action and again above, these differences simply are not recited in the claims. For example, the presence of additional levels in Lee still meets the

Application/Control Number: 10/573,614

Art Unit: 3671

recitation of a "double-layer" since the claim recites "comprising" and not "consisting of." In other words, two layers (i.e., double layer) are present in a system having three or more layers. The claim language does not prevent additional layers from being present, since the term used in the preamble is "comprising." In order to overcome the 102(b) rejection, structure not present in the prior art must be distinctly recited in the claims.

The 112 2nd rejections have not been addressed. While the claim continues to be treated as a structure and not a method, it is still indefinite.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 9am-5pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,614 Page 5

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary Hartmann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3671